SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/09/05 : CIA-RDP70T00666R000200110044-4

25X1

RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN THE USSR

	The allocation of labor to different sectors is shown on this
<u>labor</u> e Chart	next chart. The USSR has million in industry compared to US
15.V3.U55R カネットタイ	and services - but agriculture - SU vs US. This dramatizes
Service's	the very low productivity in socialized agriculture in the USSR.
	25X1 Now let us see how the overall production is used in the Soviet
l Use	Union. This chart shows a comparison of US and Soviet GNP end uses for
JS vs. VSSR	1963 in 1963 dollars. The end uses are consumption investment, defense
ing uses in 1943	administration and education. Although Soviet GNP is less than 1/2
and the second of the second o	US, the defense expenditure in \$ was nearly equal to US investment,
	also nearly equal to US, and education, also an investment more than
25X1	half. But less than 1/3. This is the basic strategy of development.
	Defense and investment for growth, with C getting what is left.
	Recently more for consumer and food has become urgent.
	The allocation decisions that the leaders must face are: How
	much for defense vs. investment and within investment, now much for
	agriculture and consumers goods industry vs. heavy industry.
	The growth objective has always been to catch up with the US.
Couth	This chart shows how they are doing. This shows the GMP of the US
.5 vs USSR	in Blue and the USSR in Red in 1963 \$, and the absolute gap. Until
·	1960, the USSR was growing an average some 62% a year. This was fast

.

25X1

Comment of the

gap has been widening again.

enough so the absolute gap was narrowed, but since 1960 rate growth has

slowed to some 40%, and the US also grew at a but 41% so the absolute

This slowdown makes the allocation problem more binding. There is less of an increase each year to divide among the many claimants. Furthermore, lagging sectors like agriculture demand more investment resources.

USSR A9 Endex, 1956 This chart shows an index of agricultural production, total and per capits. After new lands expansion growth has been slow. By 1965 per capita production only = to 1956, and since 1953 the USSR has had to import \$1\frac{1}{2}\$ billion worth of wheat. This directly reduced the imports of Western equipment and the advanced technology that goes with it.

Last March Brezhmev announced a very large program of investment in agriculture -- 80 billion dollars over the next five years. If carried out, this will certainly limit investment in parts of industry not supporting agriculture.

The problem of new technology is another aspect of choice the leaders must make.

Chart

USSR defense

expenses = e

tol, 4 exotic

last 7 years have been disappointingly slow and the shortfalls have contributed to the slowdown ingx growth. An important part of the cause of the shortfall has been the demand of military advanced weapons program. This chart shows total defense spending and spending on missiles, nuclear weapons, and R&D. I believe you are familiar with this chart, Sir! The rapid growth of advanced weapons development and deployment has taken the best scientific and technical manpower away from industrial development.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/09/05: CIA-RDP70T00666R000200110044-4

SECRET.

This outlines the resource allocation problems which the Soviet leaders face now in preparing the new five-year plan to start next year. We should be hearing in the next few weeks or months what decisions they have made.